

Available online at scholarcommons.usf.edu/ijs/ & www.ijs.speleo.it

International Journal of Speleology Official Journal of Union Internationale de Spéléologie



# Relationship between carbon dioxide in Balcarka Cave and adjacent soils in the Moravian Karst region of the Czech Republic

Jiří Faimon<sup>1</sup>, Monika Ličbinská<sup>1,2</sup>, and Petr Zajíček<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract:

Faimon J., Ličbinská M. and Zajíček P. 2012. Relationship between carbon dioxide in Balcarka Cave and adjacent soils in the Moravian Karst region of the Czech Republic. International Journal of Speleology, 41(1), 17-28. Tampa, Florida (USA). ISSN 0392-6672. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.41.1.3</u>

Carbon dioxide concentration, air temperature, and humidity were monitored at (1) two cave sites and (2) three adjacent karst soils. The data over a one-year period are supported by dripwater chemistry and cave visiting frequency. The results indicate that the sources of cave  $CO_2$  are anthropogenic and epikarstic ones in addition to ordinary soils. Epikarstic  $CO_2$  produced under almost stationary conditions probably control dripwater chemistry and cave's  $CO_2$  maxima. Based on breathing and door opening, anthropogenic activity affects instantaneous cave  $CO_2$  levels, depending on site volume/position and visitor number. A conceptual model of the  $CO_2$  dynamics of the soil-cave system is proposed. The study indicates that karst processes such as limestone dissolution and speleothem growth need not be entirely/directly controlled by external climatic conditions.

Keywords: CO<sub>2</sub>; cave; dripwater; epikarst; soil; visitors; Moravian Karst; Czech Republic

Received 7 October 2010; Revised 6 December 2010; Accepted 30 April 2011

## INTRODUCTION

Recently, growing interest has been seen in using speleothems as an archive of paleoenvironmental information (see Fairchild et al., 2006, for a review). To take advantage of the paleoproxies, a better understanding of speleothem growth mechanisms/ dynamics is required. Carbon dioxide is one of the most important variables controlling growth. A consensus exists that the difference between soil and cave CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressures drives dripwater degassing and, consecutively, dripwater supersaturation with respect to calcite. (Dreybrodt, 1999; Baldini et al, 2008). Despite great effort, many problems such as CO<sub>2</sub> source origin and CO<sub>2</sub>-dynamics in the exteriorsoil-epikarst-cave-exterior chain have been neglected. Generally, karst soils are believed to be the main sources of cave CO<sub>2</sub>. However, based on various indices, an ever stronger reservation appears about this belief.

<sup>3</sup>Cave Administration of the Czech Republic, Svitavská 11/13,678 01 Blansko, Czech Republic. (zajicek@caves.cz)

Soil  $CO_2$  production is derived from (1) respiration of autotrophs (root respiration and rhizomicrobial respiration) and (2) respiration of heterotrophs (Kuzyakov & Larionova, 2005; Kuzyakov, 2006). Output from soils is linked to (i) diffusion into the external atmosphere (Piao at al., 2000; Arnold et al., 2005; Jungkunst et al., 2008; Longdoz et al., 2008); (ii) diffusion into the voids in underlying rocks, and to (iii) dissolution in percolating waters (Kaufmann & Dreybrodt, 2007). In general,  $CO_2$  concentrations in the soil atmosphere are estimated to range from 0.1to 10 % vol. (Miotke, 1974; Troester & White, 1984). However, direct measurements in karst soils indicate a much narrower range, of between 0.1 and 1.0 %vol. (Bourges et al., 2001; Spötl et al., 2005). Soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations show strong seasonality (Spötl et al., 2005). Annual production reflects external climatic conditions (Jassal et al., 2005; Li et al., 2008; Iqbal et al., 2008). A review of CO<sub>o</sub> behavior in ecosystems on global scale is given in Baldocchi et al. (2001).

 $CO_2$  input into cave includes (1) *natural fluxes* associated with (i) direct diffusion from soils/epikarst, (ii) microbial decay of organic matter in cave sediments, (iii) respiration of animals, (iv) endogenous  $CO_2$ , (vi) dripwater degassing (Holland et al., 1964), and (2) *anthropogenic flux* stemming from a person exhaling (Faimon et al., 2006).  $CO_2$  output from the cave is connected with airflows that carry  $CO_2$  out of the cave (cave ventilation). Cave airflows are controlled by cave geometry and by pressure/temperature gradients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Geological Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic. (faimon@sci.muni.cz)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Institute of Geological Engineering, Faculty of Mining and Geology, VŠB - Technical University of Ostrava, 17. listopadu 15, 708 33 Ostrava – Poruba, Czech Republic. (monika.licbinska@vsb.cz)

between the cave and the exterior (de Freitas et al., 1982; Baker & Genty, 1998; Bourges et al., 2001; Spötl et al., 2005; Faimon et al. 2006; 2011). When the cave  $\text{CO}_2$  level increases, the  $P_{\text{CO2(soil)}}$ - $P_{\text{CO2(cave)}}$  difference diminishes and the driving force for speleothem growth falls. In contrast, a cave CO<sub>2</sub> level decrease enhances the difference and, consecutively, also the driving forces. Analogically to soil levels, cave CO<sub>2</sub> levels show seasonal variations (Troester & White, 1984; Ek & Gewelt, 1985; Bourges et al., 2001; Spötl et al., 2005). In the cave atmosphere,  $CO_2$  levels vary within a range from 0.1 to 1.0 % vol. (e.g. Tatár et al., 2004; Baldini et al., 2006). Levels exceeding 1 % vol. were also monitored in some caves (e.g., Batiot-Guilhe et al., 2007). Recently, Faimon & Ličbinská (2010) try to relate the trends in soil and cave CO<sub>2</sub> levels at selected sites of Moravian Karst.

The goal of the study was (1) to verify  $CO_2$  levels in different karst soils and (2) to try to identify their effect on cave  $CO_2$  during year period.

#### SITE OF STUDY

The Moravian Karst is the most extensive karst area in the Czech Republic (Balák, 1999). It is a part of the Drahany Highlands. The Moravian Karst forms a belt 3-5 km wide and 25 km long, covering an area of 94 km<sup>2</sup>. The Lažánky and Vilémovice limestones of the Macocha Formation (Middle/ Upper Devonian age) are typical karst rocks at the sites (calcite content varies from 95 to 99 % wt). Total rock thickness is estimated to be 500-1000 m. The Karst plateau altitude varies between 250 and 600 m a.s.l. Annual precipitation is about 650 mm. Annual regional temperature is about 10°C. Rendzic Leptosols are the main soil types that have evolved on the limestones. Granitoid rocks of the Brno Crystalline Massif (Proterozoic) build a crystalline basement.

The sites of the study, Balcarka Cave and the soils above the cave are in the northern part of the Moravian Karst near the village of Ostrov u Macochy (Fig. 1). The cave is open to tourists with a visitor rate of 30-40 thousand persons per year. The thickness of overburden is about 20–50 meters. The cave monitoring sites were in the Discoverers' Chimney Chamber (C1) and Large Foch's Hall (C2).

Based on the FAO World Reference Base classification, uniform international soil taxonomy for communication between specialists in different branches of science, (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2007), three soil types were monitored in the area above Balcarka Cave (Fig. 1): Anthrosol (S1), lithic Leptosol (S2), and brown rendzic Leptosol (S3). The Anthrosol S1 was farmed and altered by tilling in the past. Nowadays, it is used as a pasture/meadow. Lithic Leptosol S2 is the soil of the karst meadow. It is more skeletal; the humus horizon (A-horizon) is only 5-10 cm thick and proceeds directly into the bedrock. The brown rendzic Leptosol S3 is formed in a mixed forest. This soil type has a mollic horizon that contains or immediately overlies calcaric materials or calcareous rock containing 40 percent or more calcium carbonate equivalent. A summary of the monitoring sites, the character of vegetation, soil types and cave details are given in Tables 1 and 2.

#### **METHODS**

 $CO_2$  concentrations, air temperature and humidity were monitored at approximately 15-day intervals in the soil and cave atmospheres during the years 2006-2007. Soil atmosphere variables were monitored in probe holes drilled down to the C-horizon by steel bar ( $\emptyset$  5 cm). The wall of each probe hole was reinforced with a cylinder of polyethylene netting and sealed with a plastic cover.

Cave atmosphere variables were monitored at a height of 1 metre above the cave floor. An impact of diurnal variability in  $CO_2$  concentrations were damped to some extent by monitoring in the narrow time range between 10:00 and 14:00.

 $CO_2$  concentrations were measured in situ by 2-channel IR-detector FT A600-CO2H linked with ALMEMO 2290-4 V5, Ahlborn, Germany (measuring range: 0 to 10,000 ppmv; accuracy: ± 50 ppmv + 2 vol. % of measured value in the range of 0 to 5000 ppmv; resolution: 1 ppmv or 0.0001 vol %). The relative humidity and temperature of the soil and cave atmosphere were monitored by digital hydro/ thermometer GFTH 200, Greisinger electronic GmbH, Germany (resolution: 0.1% RH and 0,1°C;



Fig.1. Sketch map of monitoring sites. For explanation of the abbreviations, see Tab.1.

Code	Detailed	Rectangular projection area [m <sup>2</sup> ]	Volume [m³]	Cave type	Overburden [m]
C1	Discoverers' Chimney Chamber	91	634	dynamic	30
C2	Large Foch's Hall	1223	14674	dynamic(?)	40

Table 2	Parameters	of the	monitored	enile

Table 1. Parameters of the cave monitoring sites.

Code	Detailed	Soil type <sup>(a)</sup>	Hillslope [degree]	<b>SPD</b> <sup>(b)</sup> [m]	Air-filled porosity <sup>(c)</sup>	Pore volume [m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> ]
S1	anthropogenic soil(d)	Anthrosol	<5	0.4	0.07	0.028
S2	karst meadow soil	lithic Leptosol	<5	0.2	0.20	0.04
S3	mixed forest soil	brown rendzic Leptosol	<5	0.5	0.20	0.10

<sup>(a)</sup> based on FAO WRB (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2007) <sup>b)</sup> soil profile depth

measuring range: temp: -25 to +70 °C; RH: 0 to 100 %; temperature accuracy:  $\pm 0.5$  % of measured value  $\pm 0.1$  °C; humidity accuracy:  $\pm 1.5$ % linearity,  $\pm 1.5$ % hysteresis for 11–90 % range).

Dripwater samples were collected in the Large Foch's Hall during the years 2003-2004. In the cave, pH (WTW pH 330i), Ca (complexometric microtitration), and alkalinity (acidimetric microtitration) were determined. Subsequently, the waters were analyzed in the laboratory for K, Mg, Na (AAS),  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_2^-$ , PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-3-</sup>,  $NO_3^-$  (spectrophotometry),  $SO_4^{-2-}$ , and Cl<sup>-</sup>(microtitration). The estimated analytical errors are below 5%.

The data analysis was evaluated in the STATISTICA code (StatSoft, Inc., www.statsoft.cz). The nonparametric Kendall's test was conducted by using computer code developed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) (Helsel *et al.*, 2006). The data on dripwater geochemistry were evaluated in the PHREEQC code (Parkhurst & Appelo, 1999).

#### RESULTS

#### Soil data

The evolution of  $CO_2$  concentration, temperature, and relative humidity in the studied soils S1, S2, and S3 over a one-year period is given in Fig. 2. All the variables show a strong seasonality. The patterns of  $CO_2$  and temperature are mutually similar (Fig. 2C, B), whereas the pattern of relative humidity is inverse (Fig. 2A).

Air relative humidity HS1, HS2, and HS3 in soils S1, S2, and S3, respectively, varied between 40 and 80 % (Fig. 2A). An extensive maximum is obvious during the long-term period, from October 06 to May 07. Minima were registered in summer (July 06 and August 07). A shallow local minimum in January 07 (Fig. 2A) is roughly consistent with the subfreezing temperature.

Air temperatures TS1, TS2, and TS3 in soils S1, S2, and S3, respectively, followed the external daily temperature T(ext) (Fig. 2B). It approached/exceeded 30°C in July 06 and dropped below freezing point in February 07 (Fig. 2B).

<sup>(c)</sup> estimated, based on Reynolds et al. (2007)

<sup>(d)</sup> formerly cultivated/tilled soil, presently meadow

19



Fig. 2. Soil data (the sites S1, S2, S3 above Balcarka Cave). (A) air relative humidity, (B) air temperature, (C) air CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration.

The  $CO_2$  concentrations OS1, OS2, and OS3 in soils S1, S2, and S3, respectively, are ordered from the highest to the lowest in the sequence OS1>>OS2>OS3. The maxima (between 0.4 and 0.5 % vol.) were reached during late summer/early autumn(July to October 06). In summer 06, the OS1 maxima exceeded OS2 and OS3 by a factor of 2. Minima (between 0.1 and 0.2 % vol.) were monitored during winter/early spring (December 06 to March/April 07). The lowest  $CO_2$ concentrations were registered in mixed forest soils (S3) during winter (Fig. 2C). Surprisingly, a local minimum appeared in the first days of August 06. It is roughly consistent with the minimum of soil air humidity.

#### Cave data

Both the  $CO_2$  concentrations and number of visitors show a strong seasonality (Fig. 3). In contrast, the cave temperature hardly changes in either cave site. During the whole monitoring period, the cave temperatures in both cave sites differed: the temperature in C1, TC1 ~ 12.3±0.35°C systematically exceeded the temperature in C2, TC2 ~ 10.6±.0.36°C



Fig. 3. Balcarka Cave data (the sites C1 and C2). (A) air temperatures TC1 and TC2; (B) visitor numbers per day (P1), three days (P3), five days (P5); (C) air  $CO_2$ -concentrations OC1 and OC2.

(Fig. 3A). The local minimum in February 07 is roughly consistent with the external temperature minimum.

The anthropogenic  $CO_2$  impact is quantified by the P1, P3, and P5 variables that correspond to the visitor numbers per given day, preceding 3 days, and 5 days, respectively. The cumulative variables are necessary because of unknown extent of cave ventilation effect on anthropogenic  $CO_2$  (cave relaxation). The evolution of the variables P1, P3, and P5 during the studied period is presented in Fig. 3B. As can be seen, the visitor number was at maximum in August. In contrast, it was near zero in winter (from November to March).

The  $\text{CO}_2$  levels were systematically higher in deeper cave passages (C2) excluding the September-October period with inverse levels. Maximum carbon dioxide concentrations (up to 0.3 % vol.) were examined for the summer-early autumn(July to September) period of 2006. The results show that surprisingly low values occur at site C1. Minima (below 0.1 % vol.) were observed during the winter/early spring period (from December to April) (Fig. 3C).

The composition of two drips, D1 (four samples) and D2 (eight samples) is given in Fig. 4. Their pH is near 8, calcium and carbonate species (alkalinity) are dominant ( $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-2}$  mol/L), other species (K, Mg, Na, nitrate, chloride, sulfate) are minor ( $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-4}$  mol/L). The remaining species (ammonium, nitrite, and phosphate) are in concentrations about/below  $10^{-6}$  mol/L.



Fig. 4. Dripwater composition (Large Foch's Hall, Balcarka Cave). (A) drip D1 (4 samples); (B) drip D2 (8 samples).

#### DATA ANALYSIS

There were derived two additional variables: |DTi|, which is an absolute value of the temperature gradient between exterior and cave, |DTi| = |T(ext) - TCi|, and AHSi, which is soil air absolute humidity. All variables were arranged into two groups, the one potentially controlling soil  $CO_2$ , i.e., TSi, T(ext), and AHSi, and the one potentially controlling cave  $CO_2$ , i.e., OSi, |DTi|, T(ext), and Pi).

#### **Correlation analysis**

Based on linear interpolations, the original time series of single variables (raw data) were recalculated into equidistant ones with 15-day distance. A nonlinear trend/seasonality was determined as a central moving average of 3rd order (CMA-3) and of 5th order (CMA-5) (see Alvarez-Ramirez et al., 2005). Alternatively, the trend was identified by data regression with the polynomial of 4<sup>th</sup> order (PN-4). Each individual trend was subtracted from the equidistant data to prevent trend impact on correlations of variables. The resulting detrended/ residual data sets were checked for stationarity by non-parametric Kendall's test (see Hirsch et al., 1982; Hirsch & Slack, 1984; Helsel & Hirsch, 2002; Helsel & Frans, 2006). Finally, the obtained stationary data (SD-CMA-3, SD-CMA-5, SD-PN-4) were analyzed for long-range cross-correlations. The results are in the Table 3. Surprisingly, no correlations exist between the soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and soil air temperature/ humidity. Weak positive correlations appear between the individual soil CO<sub>2</sub> levels in dependence on the used detrending method. A clear correlation is between OS1 and OS3. In contrast, the correlations OS1/OS2 and OS2/OS3 resulting from SD-CMA-5 and SD-PN-4 are less evident.

Some correlations are found between soils and cave  $CO_2$ . However, only the couples OS1/OC1 and OS2/OC2 show significant positive correlations regardless of detrending methods. Other correlations are ambiguous: OS2/OC1 (SD-PN-4 only), OS3/OC1 (SD-CMA-3 and SD-CMA-5), OS1/OC2 (SD-PN-4 and SD-CMA-5), and OS3/OC2 (SD-CMA-3, SD-CMA-5).

Positive correlations are evident also between the cave  $CO_2$  levels and attendance. OC1 is lagged against P1/P3/P5 and P5/OC1 correlates only in the SD-PN-4 set. In contrast, OC2 correlates with P1/P3/ P5 without any delay and regardless of detrending method. Except of the positive correlations, there are also negative correlations at different lags: whereas OC1 correlate with P1/P3 at small delay (lag ~ -1) regardless of detrending methods, OC2 correlates convincingly only with P3 at much higher delay (lag ~ -2 to -3).

No expected negative correlations exist between cave  $CO_2$  and temperature gradients or external temperature. A sign of negative correlation is between T(ext) and OC1 (SD-PN-4, p > 0.05).

To determine the weight of individual variables at different seasons, an attempt was made to segment the detrended data into the parts statistically homogenous by the method of entropy of curves (Denis & Crémoux, 2002; Denis et al., 2005). However, it did not improve the results mainly due to high fragmentation of the series.

Table 3	Correlations	between	selected	variables	at $p < 0.05$
	Conclations	DCLWCCII	30100100	vanabico	u p ~ 0.00

21

Variables SD-CMA-3 <sup>(a)</sup> SD-CMA-5 <sup>(b)</sup> SD-PN-4 <sup>(c)</sup>						PN_4(c)	
first	lagged	LAG	r	LAG	r	LAG	r
TS1	OS1	N	N	N	N	Ν	N
TS2	OS2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
TS3	OS3	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
AHS1	OS1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
AHS2	OS2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
AHS3	OS3	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
OS1	OS2	0	0.47	0	-0.47	7	0.50
OS1	OS3	0	0.78	-1	0.60	0	0.48
OS2	OS3	0	0.81	Ν	Ν	0	0.71
OS1	OC1	-2	0.67	-2	0.45	-2	0.48
OS2	OC1	Ν	Ν	0	0.58	Ν	Ν
OS3	OC1	-2	0.73	Ν	Ν	-1	0.38 <sup>(d)</sup>
OS1	OC2	Ν	Ν	0	0.45	4	0.50
OS2	OC2	-3	0.61	-2	0.64	-3	0.53
OS3	OC2	-3	0.59	Ν	Ν	-3	0.54
P1	OC1	1	0.71	1	0.66	-3	0.45
P1	OC1	-1	-0.69	-1	-0.73	-1	-0.68
P3	OC1	-3	0.59	1	0.56	-3	0.70
P3	OC1	-1	-0.57	-1	-0.76	-1	-0.64
P5	OC1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	-3	0.69
P5	OC1	Ν	Ν	-1	-0.55	-1	-0.49
P1	OC2	0	0.44	0	0.65	0	0.68
P1	OC2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	-3	-0.49
P3	OC2	0	0.59	0	0.61	0	0.75
P3	OC2	-3	-0.57	-2	-0.50	-3	-0.63
P5	OC2	0	0.52	0	0.60	0	0.69
P5	OC2	Ν	Ν	-2	-0.45	-3	-0.55
DT1	OC1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
DT2	OC2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
T(ext)	OC1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	-2	-0.39 <sup>(d)</sup>
T(ext)	OC2	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N

<sup>(a)</sup> stationary data detrended by CMA of 3<sup>rd</sup> order;

<sup>(b)</sup> stationary data detrended by CMA of 5<sup>th</sup> order;

<sup>(c)</sup> stationary data detrended by PN of 4<sup>th</sup> order;

<sup>(d)</sup> p > 0.05

r – correlation coefficient; N – uncorrelated; T(ext) - external temperature; TSi - air temperature in the i-soil; AHSi - absolute humidity of i-soil air; negative lag means variable delay, positive lag means variable preceding; N - uncorrelated

## $CO_2$ dynamics

Trends of the non-stationary segments of the  $CO_2$  time series (the autumn and spring periods) reflect  $CO_2$  dynamics in the cave sites and soils. Slopes of the autumn segments ranged from -9.99x10<sup>-4</sup> to -3.55x10<sup>-3</sup> % vol. day<sup>-1</sup> (soils) and from -1.32x10<sup>-3</sup> to -1.82x10<sup>-3</sup> % vol. day<sup>-1</sup> (cave sites). The spring segments, on the other hand, correspond to the slopes from 1.56x10<sup>-3</sup> to 1.91x10<sup>-3</sup> % vol. day<sup>-1</sup> (soils) and from 9.04x10<sup>-4</sup> to 1.16x10<sup>-3</sup> % vol. day<sup>-1</sup> (cave sites) (Tab. 4).

Based on the slopes, overall fluxes were calculated as relevant increments/decrements of the  $CO_2$  contents in the soils and cave sites. Firstly, the overall  $CO_2$  fluxes into/from the cave were calculated such as the change in the  $CO_2$  content in the total volume of the given cave site. The resulting values were normalized

		Autumn c	lecrease	Spring increase		
Site	Slope [vol. %/day]	R <sup>2</sup>	Data segment	Slope [vol. %/day]	R <sup>2</sup>	Data segment
S1	-3.55x10⁻₃	0.966	28-Sep to 08-Dec-06	1.91x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.978	26-Mar to 30-Jun-06
S2	-9.99x10-4	0.955	28-Sep to 21-Dec-06	2.43x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.974	30-Apr to 14-Jul-06
S3	-1.46x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.929	28-Sep to 21-Dec-06	1.56x10⁻³	0.983	14-Apr to 14-Jul-06
C1	-1.82x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.924	28-Sep to 8-Dec-06	9.04x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.997	14-Apr to 14-Jul-06
C2	-1.32x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.957	14-Oct to 8-Dec-06	1.16x10⁻³	0.912	14-Apr to 14-Jul-06

Table 5. Overall CO<sub>2</sub>-fluxes into/from the individual cave sites and soils in different seasons

Overall CO <sub>2</sub> -flux	Season	S1	S2	S3	C1	C2
J [mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	Autumn	-5.14x10 <sup>-10</sup>	-2.06x10 <sup>-10</sup>	-7.54x10 <sup>-10</sup>	-5.96x10⁻ଃ	-8.19x10-8
J [mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	Spring	2.76x10 <sup>-10</sup>	5.02x10 <sup>-10</sup>	8.06x10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.27x10⁻ <sup>8</sup>	7.19x10 <sup>-8</sup>
J - overall CO <sub>2</sub> -flux						

to a unitary area based on perpendicular projection from the surface to the cave site. The fluxes associated with the C1 and C2 cave sites were  $-5.96 \times 10^{-8}$  and  $-8.19 \times 10^{-8}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during the autumn decrease, and  $3.27 \times 10^{-8}$  and  $7.19 \times 10^{-8}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during the spring increase, respectively (Tab. 5).

In case of soils, the overall  $CO_2$  fluxes normalized to 1 m<sup>2</sup> surface area were calculated such as the change in  $CO_2$  content in a soil prism of 1 m<sup>2</sup> base and of a height equal to the actual soil profile depth. Air volume of the prism was estimated from soil air-filled porosity (Tab. 2). Based on different soils, the resulting overall fluxes vary from -2.06x10<sup>-10</sup> to -7.54x10<sup>-10</sup> mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during autumn and from 2.76x10<sup>-10</sup> to 8.06x10<sup>-10</sup> mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during spring, respectively (Tab. 5).

#### **Dripwater chemistry**

The concentrations of species in the dripwater samples reflect the composition of the pure Devonian limestones of the Moravian Karst. Pollutants such as nitrates or phosphates do not exceed standard values. The positive values of the saturation index (calculated using PHREEQC) in a range from 0.23 to 1.03 (Tab. 6) indicate that all the dripwaters are supersaturated with respect to calcite. The values of  $CO_2$  partial pressures in dripwater ( $10^{-2.98}$  to  $10^{-2.37}$ ) exceeded the  $CO_2$  partial pressures in the cave atmosphere ( $10^{-3.25}$  to  $10^{-2.55}$ ) (Tab. 6). This predicates further dripwater degassing from  $CO_2$  and increasing supersaturation.

Principally, the dripwater composition contains information about  $CO_2$  partial pressure at water formation. The information was decoded by calculating a hypothetical  $P_{CO2}$  (HPP), at which the given dripwater would be in equilibrium with calcite. The calculation is based on a simplified model where the system *air*  $CO_2$ *water-calcite* firstly reaches equilibrium (soil, epikarst, vadose zone) and then the water comes into the cave as dripwater. Possible objections that waters on its path into cave (1) mix with each other, (2) degas, or (3) produce calcite are justified, but all the processes solely reduce the calculated HPP value. Thus, HPP represents a minimum of  $P_{CO2}$  that participated in the constitution of the water chemistry. The resulting HPP values for the Large Foch's Hall dripwaters vary in the range from  $10^{-1.79}$  to  $10^{-1.98}$ . They correspond to the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of  $1.20 \pm 0.14$  % vol. (D1) and  $1.37 \pm 0.14$  % vol. (D2), i.e.,  $1.32 \pm 0.16$  % vol. on average (Tab. 6). Aside from the values, some temporary drips showed concentrations up to 5 % vol. of CO<sub>2</sub> (Faimon, unpublished data).

Table 6. Source CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrations participating on constituting of the drip water chemistry

SI <sub>(calcite)</sub> <sup>(a)</sup>	$\log P_{\rm CO2DW}{}^{\rm (b)}$	$\log P_{(\text{cave atm})}^{(\text{c})}$	$\log \text{HPP}^{\text{(d)}}$	HC-CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>(e)</sup> [vol. %]
		drip D1 -		
0.83	-2.77	-3.25	-1.86	1.38
0.23	-2.43	-3.17	-1.98	1.05
0.51	-2.51	-3.08	-1.92	1.20
0.59	-2.58	-2.99	-1.93	1.17
		drip D2		
0.52	-2.37	-2.55	-1.79	1.62
0.55	-2.44	-2.67	-1.83	1.48
0.83	-2.76	-2.94	-1.85	1.41
0.90	-2.90	-3.03	-1.92	1.20
1.02	-2.97	-3.18	-1.86	1.38
1.03	-2.98	-3.25	-1.86	1.38
0.47	-2.41	-3.17	-1.89	1.29
0.63	-2.60	-3.08	-1.91	1.23

<sup>(a)</sup> calcite saturation index

<sup>(b)</sup> logarithm of partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in dripwater

<sup>(c)</sup> logarithm of partial pressure CO<sub>2</sub> in cave atmosphere

<sup>(d)</sup> logarithm of hypothetical partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> participating on water chemistry (epikarst)

(e) decoded hypothetical CO2-concentrations in epikarst

#### Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> contribution

The air exhaled by humans contains 2.0-5.8 % vol.  $CO_2$  (Byrnes et al., 1997), depending on physical activity (Iwamoto et al., 1994), gender (Sciacca et al., 2002), and age (Tormo et al., 2001). The air volume exhaled by a person varies over an extremely wide range from 6 to 100 L min<sup>-1</sup>, depending on activity (Iwamoto et al., 1994; Smith, 1997). Based on the



Fig. 5. Theoretically reachable relative contents of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  in the Balcarka Cave sites C1 (A) and C2 (B), depending on the numbers of visitors P1, P3, and P5.

assumption that one person in the cave exhaled an air volume of 15 L min<sup>-1</sup> with 3 % vol.  $CO_2$  concentration, the share of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  in the total cave  $CO_2$  may be significant, depending on the number of persons, visiting time, and cave site volume/position. Assuming a visiting time of two minutes in C1 and ten minutes in C2, we calculated that the cave  $CO_2$  could include up to 50 % (P1) or even 100 % (P3, P5) of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  in the small C1 (Fig. 5A). In the large C2, the cave  $CO_2$  could include up to 7 % (P1), 15 % (P3), or 28 % (P5) of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  (Fig. 5B).

#### DISCUSSION

Historically, soils are believed to be the main sources of exogenous  $CO_2$  in karst. Even though the significance of soil  $CO_2$  for karstification is unquestionable, its direct effect on cave processes such as speleothem growth is less obvious.

#### SOIL CO2

#### Interrelations between individual soil levels

The individual soils somewhat differ in  $CO_2$  levels. The highest  $CO_2$  concentrations in anthropogenic soils were expected because of soil cultivating, fertilizing, and enhanced organic matter content. A concern exists about the negative impact of land use on the karst system/processes, especially via (i) changes in soil texture, moisture, and hydraulic conductivity (Canora et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009), (ii) soil erosion (Febles et al., 2009), (iii) water pollution (Jiang et al., 2008), and (iv) a complex of influences (Baker & Genty, 1998; Balák et al., 1999). With respect to carbon dioxide, however, we do not recognize a fundamental problem. Even though enhanced anthropogenic soil CO<sub>2</sub> levels undoubtedly participate on a greater karst surface denudation (enhanced karstification), they could paradoxically support cave speleothem growth due to higher carbonate mineralization of resulting karst waters. The ratio of the CO<sub>2</sub> levels in natural soils is somewhat surprising: the levels in the shallow meadow soil exceed systematically the levels in the deeper and more humic forest soil.

23

The results show that correlations between some variables are dependent on detrending methods. We believe that just the correlations based on the data detrended by the 3<sup>rd</sup> order CMA (SD-CMA-3) are most relevant. The 5<sup>th</sup> order CMA probably averages too much extensive part of the data set with respect to its total extent (26 data points). The polynomial detrending is less credible due to subjectively chosen polynomial order.

Correlation analysis of soil  $CO_2$  levels based on the SD-CMA-3 set shows positive correlations between individual soil  $CO_2$  levels. It means that both natural soils behave similarly despite different (1) local positions, (2) vegetation covers, and (3) sub-types. This indicates rather a decisive influence of external conditions on  $CO_2$  production. No radical impact of vegetation was recognized. In turn, similar behavior of the natural soil shows that the precise associating of the surface site to the cave lying below is not a critical operation when searching for soil/cave interrelations. Generally, a relation between both soil and cave sites is complicated, because of the inclination of  $CO_2$  paths (fissures and joints).

#### Control of soil CO<sub>2</sub> levels

A wide consensus exists that soil CO<sub>2</sub> levels are controlled by temperature and moisture (see Davidson et al., 1998; or Fang & Moncrieff, 2001 and references therein). Based on our detrended data, however, no correlations between soil CO<sub>2</sub> levels and air temperature/humidity were found. More exactly, even though the trends in soil air temperature/ humidity and soil CO<sub>2</sub> level are consistent, the shortterm fluctuations do not correlate. It indicates that the detailed relations in the chain external conditions (temperature/humidity) – microbial activity – organic matter degradation (CO<sub>2</sub> production) is complex and still poorly understood. We believe that detail studies of diurnal variations of soil CO<sub>2</sub> levels in dependence on external conditions may help better understanding the problem.

In general, the level of i-component in a reservoir is given by balancing all the fluxes of the component into/out of the reservoir. The increment/decrement of the reservoir content corresponds to an overall flux (the flux sign means flux direction, plus into reservoir, minus out of reservoir). The overall flux into/out of reservoir would equal the net flux of the component, if other fluxes were neglected. For example, the spring overall flux into soil (soil  $CO_2$  concentration increment) would correspond to a net soil production, if efflux from the soil were negligible. The autumn overall flux from the soil (the soil  $CO_2$  concentration decrement) would correspond to efflux from soils, if  $CO_2$  production were zero (e.g., due to temperature drop). The calculated overall fluxes  $\pm(2 \text{ to } 8) \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol}$  $\text{m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  are lower by four orders than e.g. the net  $CO_2$ efflux ~ 10<sup>-6</sup> mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> directly measured by others authors (Jassal et al., 2005; Keith & Wong, 2006; Fenn et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010). The difference indicates rather balanced fluxes and soil steady state concentrations instead of one predominant flux.

## Cave CO<sub>2</sub>

The cave  $CO_2$  levels in the range of 0.1-0.3 % vol. are consistent with earlier results from Pennsylvanian (Troester & White, 1984), Belgian (Ek & Gewelt, 1985), Austrian (Spötl et al., 2005), Texan (Banner et al., 2007), and Irish caves (Baldini et al., 2008). The strong seasonality indicates that the  $CO_2$  levels are controlled by seasonally dependent variables.

## Sources of cave $CO_2$

Generally, five sources of cave  $CO_2$  may be postulated: (1) soils, (2) epikarst, (3) cave visitors, (4) cave sediments, and (5) endogenous sources. The last two sources (cave sediments and endogenous sources) were not considered in the present study due to their low importance in the Balcarka Cave. Note that only the first source (soils) comprises an actual climatic signal.

The correlations based on SD-MCA-3 indicate that both OS1 and OS3 could be sources of OC1. The delay of OC1 about one month (lag ~ -2) after the soil  $CO_2$  seems reasonable. From the two sources, OS1 may be excluded as a source due to its long distance (exceeding 4-times the rock overburden thickness) from the C1 site. The soil S2 as a source appears improbable. As a source of OC2, the soils S2 and S3 are most favored due to the relatively strong correlation at the reasonable lag. The correlations of the soil S1 that is spatially closest to the cave site C2 is not convincing.

The dripwater modeling proved that the CO<sub>2</sub> participating in the dripwater concentrations chemistry (HPP) clearly exceed the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured directly in the soils. In addition, the variation coefficient of the annual HPP data (11.9 %) is substantially lower than that one of annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (32-35 %). This indicates the lower seasonality of HPP, which agrees with the idea that CO<sub>2</sub> is produced under near constant temperature conditions in epikarst (Atkinson, 1977; Fairchild et al., 2000; Spötl et al., 2005). In fact, an alternative explanation exists that the lower variability results from a mixing of different aged waters in the vadose zone. Actually, HPP can be influenced by various processes such as water degassing, calcite precipitation, or water mixing. However, all these processes merely diminish the HPP value. Thus, the HPP represents a conservative estimation of  $CO_{2}$  levels that has participated in dripwater formation during limestone dissolution. Regardless of the possibility of a small systematical error in directly measuring soil  $\rm CO_2$  concentrations (e.g., the volume of the drilled soil probe enhances the actual volumes of soil pores by 1-3 %), the modeling indicates a dominant  $\rm CO_2$  source in the deeper epikarst zone. This is consistent with the recent study by Benavente et al. (2010) who measured in situ in the vadose zone up to 6 % vol. of  $\rm CO_2$ . Shallow karst soils probably play a secondary role as minor sources. This is important for paleoclimatic reconstruction: epikarstic conditions will only slowly respond to climatic changes.

The estimates of the anthropogenic contribution suggested that the  $CO_2$  produced by visitors can be a significant source of cave  $CO_2$  (Fig. 5). The share of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  in the total  $CO_2$  content in the cave depends on visitor numbers, visiting time, cave site volume, and cave ventilation. The relevance of the anthropogenic source in cave site C2 is supported by the strong correlation of OC2 with the visitor numbers P1, P3, and P5 without a lag. In the case of the C1 site, the correlation is less conclusive. This indicates control by other variables, but does not exclude the anthropogenic source.

Occasionally, a concern appears about the risk resulting from an inversion of speleothem growth into corrosion by water enriched in anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g., Baker & Genty, 1998). However, the dripwater data analysis showed that  $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co2}}$  in the cave atmosphere remains lower than  $P_{co2}$  in the water, and, hence, the dripwater (1) will degas from excess  $CO_2$  and (2) will increase supersaturation with respect to calcite. This shows that anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the Balcarka Cave does not present any risk in this sense. The conclusion is consistent with the results of Faimon et al. (2006) obtained in Císařská Cave (Moravian Karst). However, it should be reminded that any CO<sub>2</sub> concentration would participate in corrosion if dissolved in condensed water (see, e.g., de Freitas & Schmekal, 2006).

## Control of cave $CO_2$ levels

The idea that karst soils control karst processes such as speleothem growth by constituting both percolating water chemistry and cave CO<sub>2</sub> levels seems oversimplified. Instantaneous CO2 levels in the cave are given by balancing of (1)  $CO_2$  fluxes from relevant sources into the cave and (2)  $CO_2$  fluxes out of the cave by airflows (ventilation). Whereas all the sources, the soil, epikarstic, and anthropogenic ones probable operate in the study site, the ventilation effect is less evident. It is well known that cave airflows are controlled by the temperature gradients between external and cave temperatures (De Freitas et al., 1982; Faimon et al., 2011). Because ventilation reduces CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, the negative correlation was expected between |DTi| and OCi. Based of the statistical analysis, however, no correlations were found. This is surprising because comparison of the actual cave CO<sub>2</sub> levels with the potential ones resulting from P3 and P5 values (Fig. 5) indicate that ventilation must participate on the actual levels. An explanation of slight/missing temperature dependence could be the periodic cave door opening by visitors that controls ventilation instead temperature. The hypothesis is consistent with correlation analysis that has shown some negative correlations between P1/P3/P3 and

 $\rm CO_2$  levels both in the C1 site (near the entrance) at low delay (lag ~ -1) and in the C2 site (deeper in cave) at longer delay (lag ~ -2 to -3). The door opening effect is also visible in Fig. 3, where the local  $\rm CO_2$  minima on July-August 06 are consistent with the attendance maxima.

## **Cave – soil interrelation**

As shown in Tab. 5, the overall CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes into/ out of the cave sites and into/out of soils differ by more than two orders. However, a direct comparison is possible only in the case of net fluxes. To visualize the CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics better, a conceptual model of the soilepikarst-cave system is proposed (Fig. 6). It consists of the reservoirs of soil, epikarst, and cave CO<sub>2</sub> with the concentrations OS, OE, and OC, respectively. The arrows between the reservoirs denote CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. The fluxes  $\boldsymbol{J}_{_{Sp}},\,\boldsymbol{J}_{_{Ep}},\,\boldsymbol{J}_{_{atm}},\,and\,\boldsymbol{J}_{_{A}}$  represent soil production, epikarst production, efflux into external atmosphere, and anthropogenic flux, respectively. The fluxes  $J_v^0$  and  $J_v$  are associated with cave ventilation. The  $J_{_{S-E}},\,J_{_{E-C}}$  and  $J_{_{S-C}}$  are exchange fluxes between the individual reservoirs. They are direct (diffusive ones, driven by concentration gradients) or indirect (convective ones, dissolved in percolating water).

Based on the analysis of  $CO_2$  dynamics, the overall  $CO_2$  flux out of cave by ventilation in autumn would correspond to a net flux, if the all fluxes into the cave were negligible. The requirement is roughly consistent with the soil  $CO_2$  minima and low attendance, but inconsistent with invariant epikarstic source. The overall flux into cave during spring would represent a net  $CO_2$  flux into cave, if ventilation were negligible. The ventilation could be indeed reduced under given temperature conditions when  $T_{exterior} > T_{cave}$  (see e.g., Fernandez-Cortes et al., 2006), but enhanced by anthropogenic impact (by door opening).

One important question is if soils themselves (without an epikarstic source) are capable of producing the cave  $CO_2$  content. If we take the overall flux into cave as net flux  $J_{S-C} \sim (2-8)\times10^{-8}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and compare its with the published fluxes into atmosphere  $J_{atm} \sim (1-10)\times10^{-6}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Jassal et al., 2005; Keith & Wong, 2006; Fenn et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010), it is obvious that the soil production  $J_{Sp}$  must only slightly exceed  $J_{atm}$ . To cover the cave input flux, the  $CO_2$  fluxes into basement would be lower than the  $CO_2$  efflux into exterior by a factor of 100. To solve the model more precisely, soil  $CO_2$  production, efflux into the exterior, and cave ventilation must be known in addition to both the soil and cave  $CO_2$  levels. For exact solution of complete model, the additional knowledge of epikarst  $CO_2$  levels and production is necessary.

## **Concluding notes**

The presented work should be understood as a preliminary study that rather reveals important questions than gives definite answer. Its limitation is the prevailing statistical approach and the data sets with relative long time steps between individual measurements. We believe that continuous monitoring of the current variables with some additional ones, as cave radon levels or cave air circulation rates in the future will help us to obtain a more reliable model of carbon dioxide exchange between karst reservoirs.



Fig. 6. A conceptual model of carbon dioxide in the soil-epikarstcave system. The reservoirs correspond to i-soil, epikarst, and cave j-site CO<sub>2</sub> at the concentrations OSi, OE, and OCj, respectively. The arrows between the reservoirs denote CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. The fluxes  $J_{sp}$ ,  $J_{ep}$ , and  $J_{A}$  represent soil, epikarst, and anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> production, respectively.  $J_{atm}$  is efflux into external atmosphere. The fluxes  $J_{v}^{0}$  and  $J_{v}$  are associated with cave ventilation. The  $J_{s-E}$ ,  $J_{e-C}$ , and  $J_{s-C}$  are exchange fluxes between the individual reservoirs.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The  $CO_2$  levels in the karst soils showed typical seasonality with a maximum in summer (up to 0.5 % vol.) and a minimum in winter (between 0.1 and 0.2 % vol.). The  $CO_2$  concentrations in the anthropogenic soil exceeded those ones in both natural soils and confirmed anthropogenic soil uniqueness. The behaviors of the natural soils were similar regardless of vegetation cover. No direct dependence was found between the soil  $CO_2$  levels and soil temperature/humidity, despite similar trends. It indicates still little understood relations in  $CO_2$  production by microbial degradation of organic matter. The  $CO_2$  concentrations in two monitored sites in Balcarka Cave showed seasonality analogical to the soils: high

concentrations in summer (up to 0.3 % vol.) and low concentrations in winter (about 0.1 % vol.). In contrast to the cave and soils, there were identified the concentrations up to 1.6 % vol. of  $CO_2$  that had to participate on dripwater composition.

In addition to supposed soils, another two CO<sub>2</sub> sources were identified in the cave sites: an epikarstic one and anthropogenic one. Even though the analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics confirmed that soils could be capable source of cave CO<sub>2</sub>, the epikarstic and anthropogenic sources seem to be at least equally significant. The instantaneous CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the cave are affected by human. Whereas the natural CO<sub>2</sub> sources are strengthened by visitor breathing, natural cave ventilation is superimposed by door opening. Regardless of the anthropogenic impact, the study indicates that speleothem composition/growth rates need not necessarily reflect the external climatic conditions if neither cave CO<sub>2</sub> levels nor dripwater hydrochemistry are controlled by soil CO<sub>2</sub>. As cave CO<sub>2</sub> level is seasonally dependent variable, however, this conclusion requires further careful verification. The results of the study could be important for paleoenvironmental reconstructions the using speleothems as terrestrial proxies of paleoclimate.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Petr Štěpánek from the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, Regional Office Brno, and Jiří Hebelka, director of the Administration of the Moravian Karst Caves, Blansko, for providing the data on regional temperatures and attendance of open caves, respectively. Two anonymous reviewers and Dr. Chris de Freitas are thanked for valuable comments. The work was supported by the MSM0021622412 grant from the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

#### REFERENCES

- Alvarez-Ramirez J., Rodriguez E. & Echeverría J.C., 2005 - Detrending fluctuation analysis based on moving average filtering. Physica A, 354: 199–219. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2005.02.020</u>
- Arnold K.V., Weslien P., Nilsson M., Svensson B.H. & Klemedtsson L., 2005 - Fluxes of CO2, CH4 and N2O from drained coniferous forests on organic soils. Forest Ecology Management, 210: 239-254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.031
- Atkinson T.C., 1977 Carbon dioxide in the atmosphere of the unsaturated zone: an important control of groundwater hardness in limestones. Journal of Hydrology, 35: 111-123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(77)90080-4
- Baker A. & Genty D., 1998 Environmental pressures on conserving cave speleothems: effects of changing surface land use and increased cave tourism. Journal of Environmental Management, 53: 165-175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jema.1998.0208
- Balák I., Jančo J., Štefka L. & Bosák P., 1999 Agriculture and nature conservation in the Moravian Karst (Czech Republic). International Journal of Speleology, 28B: 71-88.

- Baldini J.U.L., Baldini L.M., McDermott F. & Clipson N., 2006 - Carbon dioxide sources, sinks, and spatial variability in shallow temperate zone caves: Evidence from Ballynamintra Cave, Ireland. Journal of Cave and Karst Studies, 68: 4-11.
- Baldini J.U.L., McDermott F., Hoffmann D.L., Richards D.A. & Clipson N., 2008 Very high-frequency and seasonal cave atmosphere PCO2 variability: Implications for stalagmite growth and oxygen isotope-based paleoclimate records. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 272: 118-129. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.04.031
- Baldocchi D., Falge E., Gu L.H., Olson R., Hollinger D., Running S., Anthoni P., Bernhofer C., Davis K., Evans R., Fuentes J., Goldstein A., Katul G., Law B., Lee X.H., Malhi Y., Meyers T., Munger W., Oechel W., U KTP, Pilegaard K., Schmid H.P., Valentini R., Verma S., Vesala T., Wilson K. & Wofsy S., 2001 FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 82(11): 2415-2434. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(2001) 082<2415:FANTTS>2.3.CO;2
- Banner J.L., Guilfoyle A., James E.W., Stern L.A. & Musgrove M., 2007 - Seasonal variations in modern speleothem calcite growth in Central Texas, USA. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 77: 615-622 http://dx.doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2007.065
- Batiot-Guilhe C., Seidel J.-L., Jourde H., Hébrard O.
  & Bailly-Comte V., 2007 Seasonal variations of CO2 and 222Rn in a mediterranean sinkhole spring (Causse d'Aumelas, SE France). International Journal of Speleology, 36 (1): 51-56.
- Benavente J., Vadillo I., Carrasco F., Soler A., Lián C.
  & Moral F. 2010 Air carbon dioxide contents in the vadose zone of a Mediterranean karst. Vadose Zone Journal, 9 (1): 126-136.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/vzj2009.0027

- Bourges F., Mangin A. & d'Hulst D., 2001 Le gaz carbonique dans la dynamique de l'atmosphère des cavités karstiques: l'exemple de l'Aven d'Orgnac (Ardèche). Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences Paris, Earth and Planetary Sciences, 333: 685-692, Paris.
- Byrnes C.A., Dinarevic S., Busst C., Bush A. & Shinebourne E.A., 1997 - Is nitric oxide in exhaled air produced at airway or alveolar level? European Respiratory Journal, 10: 1021-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.97.10051021
- Canora F., Fidelibus M.D., Sciortino A. & Spilotro G., 2008 - Variation of infiltration rate through karstic surfaces due to land use changes: A case study in Murgia (SE-Italy). Engineering Geology, 99: 210- 227.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2007.11.018

Chen X., Zhang Z. & Shi P., 2009 - The impact of land use and land cover changes on soil moisture and hydraulic conductivity along the karst hillslopes of southwest China. Environmental Earth Sciences, 59: 811-820.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0077-6

Davidson E.A., Belk E. & Boone R.D., 1998 - Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. Global Change and Biology, 4: 217-227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.00128.x

Denis A. & Crémoux F., 2002 - Using the entropy of

- curves to segment a time or spatial series. Mathematical Geology, 34(8): 899-914. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1021302922108
- Denis A., Lastennet R., Huneau F. & Malaurent P., 2005 - Identification of functional relationships between atmospheric pressure and CO2 in the cave of Lascaux using the concept of entropy of curves. Geophysical Research Letters, 32: L05810, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004GL022226
- de Freitas, C.R. & Schmekal A., 2006 Studies of condensation/evaporation processes in the Glowworm Cave, New Zealand. International Journal of Speleology, 35(2): 7581.
- de Freitas C.R., Littlejohn R.N., Clarkson T.S. & KristamentI.S., 1982-Caveclimate: Assessment of airflow and ventilation. Journal of Climatology, 2: 383-397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370020408
- Dreybrodt W., 1999 Chemical kinetics, speleothem growth and climate. Boreas, 28: 347-356. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.1999.tb00224.x
- Ek C. & Gewelt M., 1985 Carbon dioxide in cave atmospheres. New results in Belgium and comparison with some other countries. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 10: 173-187. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290100209
- Faimon J., Štelcl J. & Sas D., 2006 Anthropogenic CO2- flux into cave atmosphere and its environmental impact: A case study in the Císařská Cave (Moravian Karst, Czech Republic). Science of the Total Environment, 369: 231-245. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.04.006
- Faimon J. & Ličbinská M., 2010 Carbon dioxide in the soils and adjacent caves of the Moravian Karst. Acta Carsologica, 39/3: 463–475.
- Faimon J., Troppová D., Baldík V. & Novotný R., 2011 - Air circulation and its impact on microclimatic variables in the Císařská Cave (Moravian Karst, Czech Republic). International Journal of Climatology, 31: DOI: 10.1002/joc.2298. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.2298
- Fairchild I.J., Borsato A., Tooth A.F., Frisia S., Hawkesworth C.J., Huang Y., McDermott F. & Spiro B., 2000 - Controls on trace element (Sr-Mg) compositions of carbonate cave waters: implications for speleothem climatic records. Chemical Geology, 166: 255-269.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00216-8

- Fairchild I.J., Smith C.L., Baker A., Fuller L, Spötl C., Mattey D., McDermott F. & EIMF, 2006 - Modification and preservation of environmental signals in speleothems. Earth-Science Reviews, 75: 105-153. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.08.003
- Fang C. & Moncrieff J.B., 2001 The dependence of soil CO2 efflux on temperature. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 33: 155-165. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00125-5</u>

Febles J.M., Tolón A. & Vega M. B., 2009 - Edaphic indicators for assessment of soil erosion in karst regions, province of Havana, Cuba. Land Degradation and Development, 20: 522-534. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ldr.929</u>

27

- Fenn K.M., Malhi Y. & Morecroft M.D., 2010 Soil CO2 efflux in a temperate deciduous forest: Environmental drivers and component contributions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42(10): 1685- 1693. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.05.028
- Fernandez-Cortes A., Calaforra J.M. & Sanchez-Martos F., 2006 - Spatiotemporal analysis of air conditions as a tool for the environmental management of a show cave (Cueva del Agua, Spain). Atmospheric Environment, 40: 7378-7394. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.06.045
- Helsel D.R. & Frans L.M., 2006 Regional Kendall test for trend. Environmental Science Technology, 40(13): 4066–4073,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es051650b Helsel D.R., Mueller D.K. & Slack J.R., 2006 - Computer Program for the Kendall Family of Trend Test. Report: 2005-5275. U.S. Geological Survey
- Scientific, 4 pp.
  Helsel D.R. & Hirsch R.M., 2002 Statistical Methods in Water Resources. U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations
- ods in Water Resources. U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, A3: 524 pp. Available online at: <u>http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/twri/twri4a3/</u>
- Hirsch R.M., Slack J.R. & Smith R.A., 1982 Techniques of trend analysis for monthly water quality data. Water Resources Research, 18: 107–121. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/WR018i001p00107
- Hirsch R.M. & Slack J.R., 1984 A nonparametric trend test for seasonal data with serial dependence. Water Resources Research, 20: 727–732. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/WR020i006p00727
- Holland H.D., Kirsipu T.V., Huebner J.S. & Oxburgh U.M., 1964 - On some aspects of the chemical evolution of cave water. Journal of Geology, 72: 36-67. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/626964</u>
- Iqbal J., Ronggui H., Lijun D., Lan L., Shan L., Tao C. & Leilei R., 2008 - Differences in soil CO2 flux between different land use types in mid-subtropical China. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 40: 2324- 2333. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.05.010
- IUSS Working Group WRB, 2007 World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006, first update 2007. World Soil Resources Reports No. 103. FAO, Rome. <u>http://www.fao.org/ag/agl/agll/wrb/doc/</u> <u>wrb2007\_corr.pdf</u>
- Iwamoto J., Pendergast D.R., Suzuki H. & Krasney J.A., 1994 - Effect of graded exercise on nitric oxide in expired air in humans. Research in Physiology, 97: 333-45.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0034-5687(94)90069-8

Jassal R.S., Black T.A., Drewitt G.B., Novak M.D., Gaumont-Guay D. & Nesic Z., 2005 -A model of the production and transport of CO2 in soil: predicting soil CO2 concentrations and CO2 efflux from forest floor. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 124: 219-236. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2004.01.013

- Jiang Y., Zhang C., Yuan D., Zhang G. & He R., 2008 -Impact of land use change on groundwater quality in a typical karst watershed of southwest China: a case study of the Xiaojiang watershed, Yunnan Province. Hydrogeology Journal, 16: 727-735. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10040-007-0259-9
- Jungkunst H.F., Flessa H., Scherber C. & Fiedler S., 2008 - Groundwater level controls CO2, N2O and CH4 fluxes of three different hydromorphic soil types of a temperate forest ecosystem. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 40: 2047-2054.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.04.015

- Kaufmann G. & Dreybrodt W., 2007 Calcite dissolution kinetics in the system CaCO3–H2O– CO2 at high undersaturation. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 71: 1398-1410.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.10.024 Keith H. & Wong S.C., 2006 - Measurement of soil CO2 efflux using soda lime absorption: both quantitative and reliable. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 38: 1121-1131.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.09.012

- Kim D.-G., Mu S., Kang S. & Lee D., 2010 Factors controlling soil CO2 effluxes and the effects of rewetting on effluxes in adjacent deciduous, coniferous, and mixed forests in Korea. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42: 576-585. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.12.005</u>
- Kuzyakov Y., 2006 Sources of CO2 efflux from soil and review of partitioning methods. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 38: 425-448.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.08.020

- Kuzyakov Y. & Larionova A.A., 2005 Root and rhizomicrobial respiration: A review of approaches to estimate respiration by autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms in soil. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 168: 503-520. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200421703
- Li H.J., Yan J.X., Yue X.F. & Wang M.B., 2008 Significance of soil temperature and moisture for soil respiration in a Chinese mountain area. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 148: 490-503. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.10.009</u>
- Longdoz B., Yernaux M. & Aubinet M., 2008 Soil CO2 efflux measurements in a mixed forest: impact of chamber disturbances, spatial variability and seasonal evolution. Global Change and Biology, 6: 907-917.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00369.x

Miotke F-D., 1974 - Carbon dioxide and the soil atmosphere. Abhandlungen zur Karst-Und Höhlenkunde, Reihe A, Speläologie, Heft 9, p. 49.

- Parkhurst D.L. & Appelo C.A.J, 1999 User's guide to PHREEQC – a computer program for speciation, batch – reaction, one dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. U. S. Geol. Surv., Denver, Colorado, USA. http://water.usgs.gov/software
- Piao H.C., Wu Y.Y., Hong Y.T. & Yuan Z.Y., 2000 -Soil-released carbon dioxide from microbial biomass carbon in the cultivated soils of karst areas of southwest China. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 31: 422-426.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003749900189

- Reynolds W.D., Drury C.F., Yang X.M., Fox C.A., Tan C.S. & Zhang T.Q., 2007 - Land management effects on the near-surface physical quality of a clay loam soil. Soil Tillage Research, 96: 316-330. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2007.07.003
- Sciacca J., Forbes W.M., Ashton F.T., Lombardini E., Gamble H.R. & Schad G.A., 2002 - Response to carbon dioxide by the infective larvae of three species of parasitic nematodes. Parasitology International, 51: 53-62.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5769(01)00105-2

- Smith G.K., 1997 Foul air in limestone caves and its effect on cavers. Proceedings of the 21st biennial Australian Speleological Federation conference, vol. 1997, p. 48-58.
- Spötl C., Fairchild I.J. & Tooth A.F., 2005 Cave air control on dripwater geochemistry, Obir Caves (Austria): Implications for speleothem deposition in dynamically ventilated caves. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69(10): 2451-2468. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.12.009
- Tatár E., Mihucz V.G., Tompa K., Pöppl L., Záray G. & ZambóL.,2004-Studyofsoilleachatesindolineabove the Béke Cave, Hungary. Geoderma, 120: 155-164. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2003.09.001
- Tormo R., Bertaccini A., Conde M., Infante D. & Cura I., 2001 - Methane and hydrogen exhalation in normal children and in lactose malabsorption. Early Human Development, 65: S165-72 [Suppl]. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3782(01)00219-5</u>
- Troester J.W. & White W.B., 1984 Seasonal Fluctuations in the Carbon Dioxide Partial Pressure in a Cave Atmosphere. Water Resources Research, 20: 153-156.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/WR020i001p00153